

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/656,264	09/06/2000		Richard Mark Schwartz	99-469	9095
32127	7590	10/20/2006		EXAM	INER
VERIZON			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP 1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO:			2626	_	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control 130.	Reexamination					
	09/656,264	SCHWARTZ ET AL.					
		Art Unit					
	Richemond Dorvil	2626					
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC							
		ppeal Brief Review					
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>09/12/2006</u> .							
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and a conferen	ce will not be held for the following					
The request does not include	t been filed concurrent with the e reasons why a review is appro cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brie	priate.					
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	eration:	·					
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confeaction will be mailed. No further action							
All participants:							
(1) Richemond Dorvil.	(3)						
(2) <u>//Opsasnick Michael//</u> . (4)							